

REMARKS

In the Office Action of October 5, 2005 claims 1-13 were indicated as being allowed. Additionally, each of claims 15-17 and 19 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were otherwise indicated as being allowable. Finally, claims 14, 18, and 20 were rejected.

Applicant has herein cancelled rejected claims 14 and 18. Additionally, Applicant has amended objected to claim 15 to place claim 15 in independent form by including all limitations of previously pending independent claim 14, upon which claim 15 previously directly depended. As such, claim 15 is now in condition for allowance. It follows then that claim 16, which depends directly from claims 15, and claim 17, which depends directly from claim 16, are now also in condition for allowance. Furthermore, Applicant has amended objected to claim 19 to place claim 19 in independent form by including all limitations of previously pending claims 14 and 18. Applicant also corrected a typographic error in claim 19 in which a limitation erroneously referred to a "second line" without any antecedent basis for said line. As such, claim 19 is now in condition for allowance. Finally, claim 20 has been amended to be dependent directly from claim 19 rather than claim 18, and new claim 21, which is dependent upon claim 15, has been added.

In view of the above, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and notification of such is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Thompson Coburn LLP

By: 

Clyde L. Smith
Reg. No. 46,292
One US Bank Plaza
St. Louis, MO 63101-1693
(314) 552-6338